	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/970,912	ROBERTSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Eleni A. Shiferaw.	(3)	
(2) <u>Madelynne J. Farber</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 September 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 4-5, 12, 15-16, and 22-27		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Dead III		
Part III.	and the state of the	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
· Sla Sla		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated interview has been made to discuss the new matter rejection mailed on 04/27/2007, the applicant pointed out the support on page 6 paragraph 23-24 of applicant's disclosure, discuss 112 rejections made regarding "such as" being included in the claims, and 101 rejection made on the above same date but unamended in the applicant's response. Moreover, the allowable subject matter mailed, to move dependent claims, was not amended, in the response, but all the problems above and more has been resolved by the interview.